## <u>UNIT : 1</u>

**INNOVATION MANAGEMENT** MEANING IMPORTANCE NEED PROCESS PRINCIPLES MODELS INNOVATION AS A PROCESS PRACTICES

#### **REFERENCE BOOKS**

JAMES A CHRISTIANSEN, "COMPETITIVE INNOVATION MANAGEMENT", MACMILLAN BUSINESS, 2000

PAUL TROTT, "INNOVATION MANAGEMENT & NEW PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT", PITMAN, 2000

S S GEORGE, "MANAGING INNOVATION IN THE NEW MILLENNIUM", THE ICFAI PRESS, 2004 Innovation comes from the Latin *innovationem*, noun of action from *innovare*.

The Etymology Dictionary further explains *innovare* as dating back to 1540 and stemming from the Latin *innovatus*, pp. of innovare "to renew or change," from in-"into" + novus "new". Innovation can therefore be seen as the process that renews something that exists and not, as is commonly assumed, the introduction of something new. Furthermore this makes clear innovation is not an economic term by origin, but dates back to the Middle Ages at least. **Possibly even earlier.** 

# What does "Innovation" really mean?

The term "innovation"— along with it's shopworn adjective, "innovative" and it's breathless verb, "innovate!"— has become the rallying cry of every product manager, the pursuit of every design consultant, the auto complete of every press release writer. The word's been wrapped around everything from the Apple iPod to a new template in Microsoft Word. So how can one term be used to describe such vastly different things?

### n essence, what does "innovation" really mean?

**Technically, "innovation" is defined merely** as "introducing something new;" there are no qualifiers of how ground-breaking or world-shattering that something needs to be—only that it needs to be better than what was there before. And that's where the trouble starts when an organization requests "innovation services" from a consulting firm. Exactly what are they really requesting? The fact is, innovation means different things to different people.

The central meaning of innovation thus relates to renewal. For this renewal to take place it is necessary for people to change the way they make decisions, they must choose to do things differently, make choices outside of their norm.

Schumpeter seems to have stated that *innovation changes the values* onto which the system is based. So when people change their value (system) the old (economic) system will tumble over to make room for the new one. When that happens innovation has occurred. So innovation must be seen as something that *does* not something that is.

On a lower level, innovation can be seen as a change in the thought process for doing something, or the useful application of new inventions or discoveries. It may refer to incremental, emergent, or radical and revolutionary changes in thinking, products, processes, or organizations.

Following <u>Schumpeter</u>, contributors to the scholarly literature on innovation typically distinguish between invention, an idea made manifest, and innovation, ideas applied successfully in practice. In many fields, such as the arts, economics and government policy, something new must be substantially different to be innovative. In economics the change must increase value, customer value, or producer value. The goal of invention is positive change, to make someone or something better. Invention and introduction of it that leads to increased productivity is the fundamental source of increasing wealth in an economy.

Innovation is an important topic in the study of economics, business, entrepreneurship, design, technology, sociology, and engineering. Colloquially, the word "innovation" is often synonymous with the output of the process. However, economists tend to focus on the process itself, from the origination of an idea to its transformation into something useful, to its implementation; and on the system within which the process of innovation unfolds. Since innovation is also considered a major driver of the economy, especially when it leads to new product categories or increasing productivity, the factors that lead to innovation are also considered to be critical to policy makers. In particular, followers of innovation economics stress using public policy to spur innovation and growth.

In the organizational context, innovation may be linked to changes in efficiency, productivity, quality, market share, etc. can all be affected positively or negatively by innovative forces. All organizations can innovate, including for example hospitals, universities, and local governments. Some will flourish under its influence. Other will die.

So as innovation typically changes value, innovation may also have a negative or destructive effect as new developments clear away or change old organizational forms and practices. Organizations that do not compensate effectively for innovative forces (mainly from outside) may be destroyed by those that do. Hence managing an organization typically involves risk. A key challenge in management is maintaining a balance between the current processes and business model.

Distinguishing from invention

Invention is the embodiment of something new. While both invention and innovation have "uniqueness" implications, innovation is related to acceptance in society, profitability and market performance expectation.

An improvement on an existing form or embodiment, composition or processes might be an invention, an innovation, both or neither if it is not substantial enough. According to certain business literature, an idea, a change or an improvement is only an innovation when it is put to use and effectively causes a social or commercial reorganization.

In business, innovation can be easily distinguished from invention. Invention is the conversion of cash into ideas. Innovation is the conversion of ideas into cash. This is best described by comparing Thomas Edison with Nikola Tesla. Thomas Edison was an innovator because he made money from his ideas. Nikola Tesla was an inventor. Tesla spent money to create his inventions but was unable to monetize them. Innovators produce, market and profit from their innovations. Inventors may or may not profit from their work.

The successful exploitation of an idea that adds value to the customer and commercial return for the creator"

"Innovation . . . is generally understood as the successful introduction of a new thing or method . . . Innovation is the embodiment, combination, or synthesis of knowledge in original, relevant, valued new products, processes, or services.

"Innovation is the multi-stage process whereby organizations transform ideas into new or improved products, service or processes, in order to advance, compete and differentiate themselves successfully in their marketplace."

Innovation typically involves creativity, but is not identical to it: innovation involves acting on the creative ideas to make some specific and tangible difference in the domain in which the innovation occurs.

"All innovation begins with creative ideas . . . We define innovation as the successful implementation of creative ideas within an organization. In this view, creativity by individuals and teams is a starting point for innovation; the first is necessary but not sufficient condition for the second".

For innovation to occur, something more than the generation of a creative idea or insight is required: the insight must be put into action to make a genuine difference, resulting for example in new or altered business processes within the organization, or changes in the products and services provided.

Schumpeter's distinction between Invention and innovation

- An 'invention' is an idea, a sketch or model for a new or improved device, product, process or system. It has not yet entered to economic system, and most inventions never do so.
- An 'innovation' is accomplished only with the first commercial transaction involving the new product, process, system or device. It is part of the economic system.

### Innovation, like many business functions, is a management process that requires specific tools, rules, and discipline."

From this point of view emphasis is moved from the introduction of specific novel and useful ideas to the general organizational processes and procedures for generating, considering, and acting on such insights leading to significant organizational improvements in terms of improved or new business products, services, or internal processes.

Through these varieties of viewpoints, creativity is typically seen as the basis for innovation, and innovation as the successful implementation of creative ideas within an organization. It should be noted, however, that the term 'innovation' is used by many authors rather interchangeably with the term 'creativity' when discussing individual and organizational creative activity.

- Joseph Schumpeter defined economic innovation as –
- The introduction of a new good that is one with which consumers are not yet familiar — or of a new quality of a good.
- The introduction of a new method of production, which need by no means be founded upon a discovery scientifically new, and can also exist in a new way of handling a commodity commercially.
- The opening of a new market, that is a market into which the particular branch of manufacture of the country in question has not previously entered, whether or not this market has existed before.
- The conquest of a new source of supply of raw materials or halfmanufactured goods, again irrespective of whether this source already exists or whether it has first to be created.
- The carrying out of the new organization of any industry, like the creation of a monopoly position or the breaking up of a monopoly position.

Key Concepts in Innovation Management

- The aim of innovation...
- Depends on the type of firm
- Goal is mostly to survive, to grow, to make profit
- R&D departments generally strive for the best *technical* solution...
- but what matters for *innovation* is how it influences survival chances, profit and growth opportunities!

Innovation and the corporate strategy

Innovation management.....

- has to be understood as a core process of the organisation -> It is related to what is being produced
- Is a long term race
- Is about continuity
- Has to deal with complexity!!
- Is about being systematic → developing routines around innovation

## WHAT IS INNOVATION?

- Invention
- Technology?
- Innovation
- Creating new or improved products, processes and services
- Knowledge and learning
- Uncertainty

**Dimensions of Innovation** 

the '4Ps' of innovation

**Product innovation: changes in the things** (products or services) which an organization offers

 Process innovation: changes in the ways in which they are created an delivered

Position innovation: changes in the context in which the product or services are introduced

 Paradigm innovation: changes in the underlying mental modes which frame what an organization does





## The knowledge of innovation



#### **Dimensions of Innovation**

#### **Component and architectural innovation**

Overturned

CORE INNOVATION CONCEPTS

Reinforced

**ZONE 2** – modular innovation **ZONE 3** – discontinuous innovation

**ZONE 1** – incremental innovation **ZONE 4** – architectural innovation

Unchanged Changed Changed

#### **Characteristics Of An Innovation**

Individuals do not automatically adopt new products. They make a conscious decision of whether to use a particular one. That is, their decision to adopt an innovation is deliberate. This is the third step in the process of adopting an innovation, covered in the first article. Design teams must proactively address this step if they want individuals to decide on long-term use of their product.

The diffusion of innovations theory identifies the following five characteristics that determine people's use of an innovation.

#### **RELATIVE ADVANTAGE**

**Relative advantage measures how improved an innovation** is over a competing option or the previous generation of a product. Potential users need to see how an innovation improves their current situation. Improvements can be in one or many of these areas: better service, consolidation of multiple functions into one tool, decreased need for supplies and equipment, empowerment of users, improved interface, increased customizability, increased longevity, increased productivity, reduced user effort, reduced environmental impact, saving of money, saving of space or storage, saving of time.
In the 1980s and early '90s, computer word-processing programs WordStar and WordPerfect demonstrated relative advantage over existing products. Most offices used typewriters, which took up a lot of space, performed only one function and required servicing, supplies (type ribbons, whiteout, etc.) and parts on a regular basis (they were also pretty loud).

As computers became more prevalent, WordStar and WordPerfect freed users from typewriters. The relative advantage was obvious. The word-processing programs didn't require any additional physical space aside from the personal computer, which already did a number of other office tasks; they reduced the need for ink and correction tape; documents could be easily edited prior to printing; and files could be saved and transported for editing on other computers using floppy disks. Soon, typewriters were being phased out of offices and replaced with these computer word-processing programs.

#### **COMPATIBILITY**

Compatibility refers to the level of compatibility that an innovation has with individuals as they assimilate it into their lives. Potential adopters need to know that your innovation will be compatible with their life and lifestyle. If an innovation requires a huge lifestyle change or if the user must acquire additional products to make your innovation work, then it is more likely to fail. Innovations meet with the greatest success when users are able to seamlessly adopt them — when they replace an existing product or idea, for the better.

Apple's iPad is an example of an innovation that had a high level of compatibility with potential users' lives when released. Many users were able to replace products they were currently using when the iPad was released, such as smartphones and laptop computers to check their email, to read books, magazines and blogs and to view videos online.

#### **COMPLEXITY VS. SIMPLICITY**

**Complexity or simplicity refers to how** difficult it is for adopters to learn to use an innovation. Complexity slows down the gears of progress. The more complex an innovation, the more difficult it will be for potential adopters to incorporate it into their lives. Potential adopters do not usually budget much time for learning to use an innovation. The more intuitive an innovation, the more likely it will be adopted.

#### **TRIALABILITY**

**Trialability describes how easily potential** adopters can explore your innovation. Trialability is critical to facilitating the adoption of an innovation. Potential users want to see what your innovation can do and give it a test run before committing. This is the underlying concept of trial sizes for tangible goods, and demo or beta releases for digital goods. Potential adopters can see for themselves what life might be like once they adopt the product.

#### **OBSERVABILITY**

**Observability is the extent to which the results or** benefit of using an innovation are visible to potential adopters. We covered in the first article that not everyone adopts an innovation immediately. The adopter types who come after early adopters rely on seeing members of this group using an innovation. **Observability extends beyond having** earlier adopters use an innovation in view of later adopters. Potential adopters of all types must clearly see the benefit of adopting an innovation and using it.

## IMPORTANCE Why you have to innovate

- Advancing technology
- Changing environment
- Changing industrial structures and strategies
- Evolving society
- Evolving customer desires
- Competitors improve their products, processes and services
- Customers stop buying your old products and services so you need to replace them and add new products and services

## Innovation happens in every industry

From new industries such as genetic engineering, electronics and telecommunications

through automotive and aerospace

to old industries such as shipbuilding and mining

## What happens if you don't innovate

- Customers stop buying your products, processes and services
- Sales drop
- Revenues drop
- Shareholder returns drop
- Stock price drops
- Key employees leave
- More customers stop buying your products, processes and services
- Sales drop

Why innovation is becoming more important

- Technology is changing fast, new products come from new competitors
- Fast changing environment, product lifetimes shorter, need to replace products sooner
- Products are increasingly difficult to differentiate
- Customers are more sophisticated, segmented and demanding, and expect more in terms of customization, newness, quality and price
- Customers have more choice
- New technologies no-one understands
- Apparently separate technologies come together
- Markets forming and changing fast
- With markets and technology changing fast, and good ideas quickly copied, there is continual pressure to devise new and better products, processes and services faster

Innovation is a positive message

- Tell people you are going to cut headcount - and lose their support
- Tell people you are going to downsize and lose their support
- Tell people you are going to reengineer
  and 80% won't cooperate
- Tell people you are going to be innovative - and win their enthusiastic support

#### Innovation as your corporate strategy

Poor implementation of many recent corporate initiatives - such as ERP implementation, ISO 9000 implementation, reengineering, and downsizing - hasn't resulted in fast growth. Often it's led to a reduction in quality levels and stagnation in sales

Innovation leads to faster growth, increased market share and better corporate positioning

Innovation is the prime strategy for the first decade of the twenty-first century

# **Why We Need INNOVATION**

This century has been full of innovation. New technologies, new products, new services, whole new industries have emerged. Yet the call for innovation in business has never been more intense. Why? Here is my list of the top ten reasons for why we need innovation.

### For economic growth

This is the most often cited reason for needing innovation. Innovation is the route to economic growth. Industries are maturing. Products are maturing. Innovation is the creation and transformation of new knowledge into new products, processes, or services that meet market needs. As such, innovation creates new businesses and is the fundamental source of growth in business and industry.

## For the progression of human well-being

This may be the least cited reason for needing innovation but perhaps the most important result of achieving innovation. As given in POINT, innovation creates new businesses. As such and at the same time, new businesses create new jobs. For reasons obvious, new jobs create personal income and thereby provide the where-with-all for achieving the personal well-being of humans.

Innovative new products are essential to the progress of any society. Imagine if we had not progressed beyond stone-age tools and implements: we might go home tonight and do a load of laundry by banging our socks with a big stone in the neighborhood stream. New products respond to the wants and needs of the populace and stimulate higher standards of living. The processes of developing new products provide employment and economic well-being for those directly associated with them and for persons employed in supporting industries. Thus, when innovation processes are properly managed (the proper management of innovation processes is the subject of another discourse), an expanding variety of new products stream forth. These products respond to the changing needs of a society whose welfare is constantly increasing.

## For competitive advantage

**Companies that use and act on their** insights get a jump on the competition. They are the competition. They leave behind those that are lulled by the security of strong, enduring economic performance and the conventional corporate wisdom that stays the course. Often, the leader loses. The battle is swift; it's too late to respond. This is not a theory. It is fact.

# Michelin captured the US tire market when it introduced radials.

- Citibank made its competitors look oldfashioned when it introduced ATMs.
- Sony grabbed the recorded music market with the introduction of the compact disc.
- The Japanese gained advantage over the Swiss with digital watches.
- Text processors, now computers, obsoleted Smith Corona's product, the typewriter.

#### **Because cost-cutting is not enough anymore**

**Profit = revenue cost.** The profit equation shows that for profits to grow, or even be maintained, you've got to manage cost, even reduce it. It is the most obvious way to grow profits. And companies have been doing this: with technology; by downsizing; through re-engineering. While U.S. companies have been very good at squeezing the last ounces of efficiency out of their organizations and work processes, and while companies have

pared their costs to the bone, many are looking anorexic. These practices simply allow you to stay in the game, to stay in the business. They alone are not enough.

## **Desire for higher business revenues**

On the same side of the profit equation as cost is the revenue term. It is the most often neglected term, but it takes only a little insight to see that profits can be increased by increasing revenue. With costs reaching bottom and few opportunities to reduce them further, companies can turn to increasing sales. Marketing innovations come to mind here and do well to sell more of what you have to sell. But new products and services bring in new revenues too. Innovation sells.

## To take advantage of opportunity

It is no surprise that surprises, often disappointing surprises, are the seeds of innovation.Take the oil companies. It is no surprise that some oil companies are becoming oil-and gas companies. Why? Because gas is found more often and in greater abundance than oil is. After the surprise and disappointment of continued gas finds, oil companies realized that opportunity might be presenting itself. With large amounts of a raw material considered to be the less desirable one, you can be sure that utilizing the abundant raw material in hand became the focus of many innovations in the oil industry.

## For a more constant flow of innovation

For some companies, it's feast or famine. They find themselves either scooping up the wealth of new ideas turned into new products or waiting for one to arrive. Or pouring money into existing operations with no visible new output. Or cutting back so hard that output is a trickle. **Innovation and the deliberate systematic** management thereof can even out the surges and slumps by providing a continuous stream of ideas for the innovation pipeline.

# For better returns

Innovations themselves not only break the mold (i.e., are truly novel, different, never done before), but also yield far better returns than ordinary business ventures.

# **PRINCIPLES**

# There are five principles that give life to the process of innovation.

# Innovation starts when people convert problems to ideas.

New ideas are born through questions, problems and obstacles. The process of innovation is indebted to the trouble that comes about when we are surrounded by that which is not solved, not smooth and not simple. Therefore, in order for the innovation process to flourish, it needs a climate that encourages inquiry and welcomes problems.

# Innovation needs a system.

**All organizations have** innovation systems. Some are formal, designed by the leadership, and some are informal, taking place outside established channels. Informal channels are untidy and inefficient, yet innovation is always associated with them.

# Passion is the fuel, and pain is the hidden ingredient.

Ideas do not propel themselves; passion makes them go. Passion, in addition to talent and skill, is a valuable company asset. Passion is what transforms other resources into profits, but it never shows up on a balance sheet. Unfortunately, there seems to be some universal law that says when pursuing a passion or following a dream, pain is part of the process. Innovation leaders need to take the pain with the passion and learn to manage both effectively.

# Co-locating drives effective exchange.

**Co-location refers to physical** proximity between people. It is a key for building the trust that is essential to the innovation process. It also increases the possibility for greater exchange of information, cross-fertilization of ideas, stimulation of creative thinking in one another and critique of ideas during their formative stage.

# Differences should be leveraged.

The differences that normally divide people — such as language, culture, race, gender and thinking and problem solving styles — can be a boon to innovation. When differences are used constructively and people move beyond fear, suspicion, mistrust and prejudice, differences can be leveraged to enhance and sustain the innovation process.

Some of the principles of innovation crystallized by the experiences of managers are :

- Analyze
- **Conceive and Perceive**
- Be focused
- Start small
- Aim at leadership
- Target present
- Build on strengths
- Beneficial

Analyze : purposeful, systematic innovation begins with the analysis of the opportunities. It begins with thinking through the sources of innovative opportunities.

Conceive and Perceive : Innovation is both conceptual and perceptual. Successful innovators use both the right side and left side of their brains. They look at figures and they look at people. They work out analytically what the innovation has to be to satisfy an opportunity and then they go out and look at the customers, the users, to see what their expectations, their values, their needs are.

Be Focused : An innovation, to be effective, has to be simple and it has to be focused. If it is not simple, it won't work. Even the innovation that creates new uses and new markets should be directed toward a specific, clear, designed application. It should be focused on a specific need that it satisfies, on a specific end result that it produces.

Start small : Effective innovation start small. They are not grandiose. They try to do one specific thing. It may be as elementary as putting the same number of matches into a matchbox (it used to be fifty), which made possible the automatic filling of matchboxes and gave the Swedish originators of the idea a world monopoly o matches for almost half a century.

Aim at leadership : a successful innovation aims at leadership. It does not aim necessarily at becoming eventually a "big business". But if an innovation does not aim at leadership from the beginning, it is unlikely to be innovative enough, and therefore unlikely to be capable of establishing itself.

**<u>Target present</u>: Don't try to innovate for the future.** Innovate for the present.

The first innovator who fully understood this caveat was probably Edison. Every other electrical inventor of the time began to work around 1860 or 1865 on what eventually became the light bulb. Edison waited for ten years until the knowledge became available; up to that point, work on the light bulb was "of the future." But when the knowledge became available-when, in other words, a light bulb could become "the present'-Edison organised his tremendous energies and an extraordinarily capable staff and concentrated for a couple of years on that one innovative opportunity.

Build on strengths: To succeed, innovators must build on their strengths. **Successful innovators look at** opportunities over a wide range. But then they ask, **'which of these opportunities** fits me, fits this company."
Beneficial : Innovation is an effect in economy and society. Innovation therefore always has to be close to the market, focused on the market, indeed market-driven.



## The eight steps of Innovation Process Management

- With the growing popularity of innovation initiatives, ever more companies are launching their own actions. However, many are going forward in a piecemeal fashion, running a brainstorming event here, trying out an ideas campaign there and promoting innovation in vague ways in marketing communications. Such an approach works, somewhat, but it is not ideal.
- The best approach is to have a comprehensive innovation process management (IPM) structure that treats innovation as a series of cycles that run within a grand, enterprise innovation process cycle.

## **The Innovation Process Cycle**



## 1) The Challenge

The cycle starts with a problem or goal which needs to be formulated into an innovation challenge. Once this is done, the challenge is presented to the problem solving group. This may be done in the form of a brainstorming event, ideas campaign or other activity. The group problem solving group may be a team, all employees in the firm, the public or any other group of people.

# 2) Collaboration

In order to maximise the creative potential of the problem solving group, the idea generation activity should be collaborative in nature. This can be accomplished in many ways. Idea management and innovation process management software often provides online collaboration tools, while facilitators of brainstorming and other ideation events should promote collaborative idea development.

3) Combination **Because an innovation process** cycle starts with a challenge, ideas tend to be interrelated and many are complementary. Hence, before going further, it is best to combine such complementary ideas into larger, more sophisticated ideas so that they can be handled as a single package. This makes the next steps in the cycle more efficient.

4) Scientific Peer Review Evaluation Here is where a lot of innovation initiatives break down: choosing the best ideas. Many poorly thought out approaches use voting, which is a good way to identify the most popular idea, but an appallingly ineffective method for identifying the most potentially innovative idea. I have also seen organisations put a great deal of effort into idea generation, leaving the final decision to a manager who basically picks out her favourite idea. Assuming the manager has suitable business expertise, such an approach is better than voting – as it is based on expertise rather than popularity – but it is typically far from perfect.

The scientific approach of peer review by expert, on the other hand, is ideally suited for identifying the most promising ideas in a cycle. Instead of basing selection on popularity (can you imagine Einstein sending his special theory of relativity to the public for a vote in order to determine its validity?) or the whim of a manager, you apply a set of business criteria to the idea and rank how well the idea meets each criterion. If an idea achieves a sufficiently high ranking, either as is or through additional modification, it should be developed further.

5) Testing and Development Ideas identified as being potential innovations are now ready to be tested and developed. Here is where typical business tools come in useful. A business case is a useful means of hypothetically implementing an innovative idea and projecting the potential results. Of course it is not perfect, but it indicates possible issues in the implementation of the idea, as well as benefits that may not have been obvious to the original idea developers.

**Prototypes are an excellent means for** testing ideas. Not only do they allow you, your colleagues, customers and others to see how an idea would actually look in implementation, but building and playing with a prototype is a good method of further improving upon the core idea. **Prototypes are, of course, ideally suited** towards material ideas such as new products. But more abstract ideas, such as new services, process improvements and other concepts can often be prototyped through role-play, building structural models and making diagrams.

6) Implementation Ideas that make it through testing and development are ready to be implemented. Unless the idea is a radical change from your usual activities, you don't need me to tell you how to do this!

7) Review **Once ideas have been** implemented, they need to be reviewed, probably against an ongoing series of milestones. If an implementation does not achieve a milestone, it needs to modified or killed. Moreover, even the most spectacularly effective and profitable breakthrough innovations need to be improved on a regular basis.

8) New Needs and Inspiration Hence, reviewing the implementation of new ideas should indicate new needs which can be transformed into challenges which, in turn, start a new innovation process cycle. Likewise, implementations can inspire new corporate goals. Again, these can be turned into new challenges and new cycles.

An innovative company, however, should not have a single innovation process cycle in operation. Rather it should have many of them! Large cycles are suitable for enterprise-wide innovation. Meanwhile, business units can run somewhat smaller innovation process cycles in order to manage their own ideas (although it should be noted, collaborative groups need not be limited to employees of that business unit). Teams, departments and any other group can also run their own innovation process cycles.



However, these innovation process cycles should not be in isolation. Rather they should inspire and feed other cycles elsewhere in the organisation. For instance, the implementation of a new product idea should inspire innovation cycles in the marketing, sales and customer service divisions as well as at the enterprise level.

Managers should watch their colleagues' innovation process cycles and ruthlessly copy ideas as inspirations for their own cycles.

# The Result: a Highly Innovative Organisation

By applying innovation process management across your entire organisation, you can transform it into one which is innovation driven. And that is a sure way to keep well ahead of the competition, survive this financial crisis and make your firm a great place to work. Is there anything more you could possibly want from work?



# MODELS OF INNOVATION

# DEFINITION OF INNOVATION

- Innovation the use of new knowledge to offer a new product or service that customers want
- Innovation = invention + commercialization
- Innovation the adoption of ideas that are new to the adopting organization



## Innovation



# THEORIES OF INNOVATION

- The following slides provide a number of theories of innovation. These can be divided into three general categories
  - 1) theories that predict who might innovate
  - 2) static theories
  - 3) dynamic theories



## **WHO INNOVATES**?

#### Model

### **Key features**

#### Value added

| Schumpeter I | Entrepreneurs are most likely | Attempt to predict who is |
|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|              | to innovate.                  | most likely to innovate.  |

# Schumpeter IILarge firms with some degree of<br/>monopoly power are the most likely<br/>to innovate.Suggests the type of firm<br/>is what matters.

# Static Models

- Incremental vs radical innovation
- Abernathy-Clark
- Henderson-Clark
- Innovation Value-added Chain
- Strategic Leadership
- Familiarity Matrix
- Quality and Quantity of New Knowledge
- Appropriability and Complementary Assets
- Local Environment
- Strategic Choice

# Incremental vs. radical innovation

- Organizational view of classifying innovations
- Innovation is radical if the technological knowledge reexploit it is very different than existing knowledge (existing knowledge becomes obsolete)
- Radical innovations are competence destroying
- Innovation is incremental if the knowledge required to exploit it builds on existing knowledge (competence enhancing)

## **STATIC MODELS (continues)**

#### Model

#### **Key features**

#### Value added

Incremental --Radical dichotomy *Strategic incentive to invest*: defines innovation as incremental if it leaves existing products competitive; radical if it renders existing products non-competitive.

Organizational capabilities: defines the winnovation as incremental if capabilities in required to exploit it build on existing ones; radical if capabilities required are very different from existing ones. Focus on technological component of innovation; bundles technological and market knowledge; bundles component and architectural knowledge.

The type of innovation determines the type of firm that innovates. Incumbents are more likely to exploit incremental innovation, whereas new entrants are more likely to exploit radical es; innovations.

# **Strategic Incentive to Invest View**

- In this view the type of innovation (radical vs. incremental) determines what type of firm is likely to invest to be first to exploit the innovation.
- A short coming is that this model assumes that firms have recognized the potential of the innovation and, in terms of radical innovation, only the fear of <u>cannibalization</u> prevents them from exploiting it.

# **Organizational Capabilities**



- Incumbents have with radical innovations:
  - since change is competence destroying they may not have the capabilities to exploit it
  - existing capabilities may not only be useless, they may actually be a handicap, if culture and processes of the old technology are firmly entrenched

#### **STATIC MODELS (continues)**

| Model           | Key features  | Value added   |
|-----------------|---|---|
| Abernathy-Clark | Unbundled technological and market<br>knowledge.<br>Highlights the importance of market<br>capabilities.  | Explains why incumbents may<br>Do well at radical technologica<br>innovations.  |
| Henderson-Clark | Unbundled technological knowledge<br>into component and architectural.<br>Defines innovation as: incremental if<br>both architectural and component<br>knowledge are enhanced; architectural if<br>component knowledge is enhanced but<br>architectural knowledge is destroyed. | Explains why incumbents<br>Fail at what appears to be<br>incremental innovations.<br>These are actually<br>architectural innovations. |

Abernathy-Clark - Role of Technological and Market Capabilities

|                                     | <b>Technical Capabilities</b> |               |  |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--|
|                                     | Preserved                     | Destroyed     |  |
| Preserved                           | Regular                       | Revolutionary |  |
| Market<br>Capabilities<br>Destroyed | Niche                         | Architectural |  |

### **STATIC MODELS (continues.)**

Model

**Key features** 

Value-added

| Innovation value-added<br>chain | Extends emphasis to the whole<br>innovation value added chain of<br>suppliers, customers and<br>complementary innovators.<br>The competence of a firm's<br>ecosystem matters too, | Explains why incumbents may<br>fail at incremental innovations<br>and why they may succeed at<br>radical innovation. |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Strategic leadership            | Explores the role of top management<br>and argues that whether or not a firm<br>adopts an innovation is a function of<br>top management's dominant logic.                         | Explains why some incumbents are the first to embrace radical innovations.   |
| Familiarity matrix              | Suggests that success in adopting an innovation is a function of the adoption mechanism used.   | It is how a firm adopts an innovation that determines its success or failure.  |

## **INNOVATION VALUE-ADDED CHAIN**



#### **STATIC MODELS (continues)**

| Model                                    | Key features  | Value added   |
|--|---|---|
| Quality and quantity<br>of new knowledge | It is not just how new the new<br>knowledge is, but also how much<br>of that new knowledge there is and<br>its nature.  | Explains why superior<br>technologies do not always<br>win.                         |
| Appropriateness and complementary assets | It takes more than technological<br>capabilities to exploit an innovation;<br>the appropriateness regime of the<br>innovation and complementary<br>assets are also important. | Explains why inventors are not<br>always the ones who profit from<br>an innovation. |
| Local environment                        | A firm's innovative-ness is a function of its local environment.  | A firm's environment matters.   |
| Strategic choice                         | A firm's strategic choices are what<br>determine whether it exploits an<br>innovation and not so much competen  | Strategy matters.   |
|  | destruction or a lack of incentive to   |   |
|  | invest in the innovation.   |   |

## WHO PROFITS FROM INNOVATION



# **Dynamic Models**



A shortcoming of the previous models is they consider firms at a point in time. They do not look at an innovation following first adoption. The models that follow are dynamic in that they take a longitudinal view of innovation.

## VALUE ADDED BY EACH DYNAMIC MODEL

| Model               | Key features  | Value added  |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Utterback-Abernathy | Three phases in an innovation's<br>life cycle fluid, transitional, and<br>specific.   | Introduces dynamism  |
|                     | Dominant design defines a critical point in the life of an innovation.  | Concept of dominant design.  |
|                     | From radical product innovation to<br>dominant design to incremental<br>innovation. From major product<br>innovation to major process innovatio | Industries evolve<br>relatively predictably<br>from one phase to the<br>on. others |
|                     | From many small firms offering unique<br>products to few firms offering similar<br>products. From profitable firms to les<br>profitable firms.  | •  |

#### VALUE ADDED BY EACH DYNAMIC MODEL (cont.)

| Model             | Key features   | Value added  |
|-------------------|--|--|
| Tushman-Rosenkopf | Similar to Utterback-Abernathy<br>model: technological discontinuity,<br>era of ferment, emergence of a<br>dominant design, and era of                   | Technological progress<br>depends on factors other<br>than those internal to the                         |
|                   | incremental technology<br>change.<br>The more complex an innovation, the<br>more intrusion from sociopolitical<br>factors during evolution of technology | The more<br>complex the technology,<br>the more it is under-<br>determined by factors<br>internal to it. |

#### VALUE ADDED BY EACH DYNAMIC MODEL (cont.)

| Model            | Key features   | Value added  |
|------------------|--|--|
| Foster's S curve | The returns on the effort put into<br>a technology fall off as the limits<br>to the technology are approached.<br>The limits of a technology can be<br>predicted from knowledge of its<br>physical limits. | How to predict the end<br>of an existing technology<br>and the arrival of a<br>technology discontinuity. |

# FOSTER'S S CURVE



# The Role of Individuals

- Successful innovation requires that a number of 'roles' be fulfilled. include:
  - idea generators: finders of 'ideas'
  - gatekeepers and boundary spanners: communication facilitator between inside organization and outside
  - champions: entrepreneurs, do what they can to ensure success of the innovation, visionaries with communication skills
  - sponsors: coach or mentor, often senior-level manager that provides 'behind-the-scenes support'
  - project managers: planners, coordinators, 'rationalizers'

## **THE PROFIT CHAIN**

